



24-hour yield calculations in the Finnish milk recording

Juho Kyntäjä, Senior Specialist, Mtech Digital Solutions Ltd., juho.kyntaja@mtech.fi
Sanna Nokka, Senior Specialist, Association of ProAgria Centres, sanna.nokka@proagria.fi

Mtech
DIGITAL SOLUTIONS

PRO
Agria

Introduction to Finnish Milk Recording



- 4500 recorded herds, 48 cows in average
- 49% Holstein, 49% Ayrshire
- 82% of all cows recorded
- 9795 kg milk, 4.30% fat, 3.52% protein
- > 1000 robotic herds
- > 95% farmer recording (B)
- > 90% of data capture done by farmers
- Milk meters mainly owned by farmers
- 90% of samples from one milking only
- Recording intervals 2, 4, 6 or 8 weeks

A bit of history



- Until 2003, the only official option was proportional sampling
- Easy to calculate
- Robotic milking started in 2001 (3 herds)
- Predominantly a farmer-recording system
 - Farmer sampling started in the 1970's
 - Guideline vs. reality
- In 2003, fat corrections & milk-sum method were introduced
- In 2015, the milk-sum method was scrapped

Situation with traditional milking systems



- Milk weights are measured at two (or three) consecutive milkings
- 10% of the herds still claim to take proportional samples -> no correction needed
- The vast majority takes one-milking samples
- Fat content corrected by the Delorenzo-Wiggans method (1986)
- For this, the farmer reports the start time of sampled milking and previous milking
- 3x milking (~20 farms) with no correction

Situation with automatic milking systems

All

Spacious

EU identity

Ear nr

Name

Ill

FI000010066724-6

0481

HERA

normal

| Milking | MKg | g/min | Sample |
|------------------|------|-------|--------|
| 15.05.2019 15:04 | 13.6 | 32.8 | |
| 15.05.2019 08:10 | 14.3 | 36.7 | ✓ |
| 15.05.2019 01:41 | 12.5 | 32.1 | |
| 14.05.2019 19:12 | 11.7 | 34.6 | |
| 14.05.2019 13:34 | 11.6 | 34.0 | |
| 14.05.2019 07:53 | 16.0 | 36.5 | |
| 14.05.2019 00:34 | 12.7 | 31.6 | |
| 13.05.2019 17:52 | 18.8 | 33.9 | |
| 13.05.2019 08:38 | 15.4 | 31.9 | |
| 13.05.2019 00:36 | 11.7 | | |

FI000010066725-9

0482

HIPHOP

normal

| Milking | MKg | g/min | Sample |
|------------------|------|-------|--------|
| 15.05.2019 09:57 | 16.7 | 22.7 | ✓ |
| 14.05.2019 21:43 | 12.5 | 20.0 | |
| 14.05.2019 11:19 | 15.5 | 21.0 | |
| 13.05.2019 23:00 | 8.6 | 18.5 | |
| 13.05.2019 15:15 | 10.5 | 19.5 | |

- **Milk weights are measured during 96 hours on herd level**
 - All milk weights and milking intervals are used in 24-hour yield calculation
- **Samples are taken from one milking towards the end of the measurement period**
 - Fat and protein yields are calculated by the updated Galesloot & Peters method
 - Two milkings and two preceding intervals + some data about the cow
- **Some problems in herds with morning sampling**

How are the methods working?

- A comparison of ready, corrected and calculated 24-hour yields
- Period from June 4th, 2018 to June 3rd, 2019

| Method | Samples | Milk, kg | Fat, kg | Fat, % | Protein, kg | Protein, % | Cells |
|--|---------|----------|---------|--------|-------------|------------|-------|
| One-milking (Z) sample, milking time 4-10 AM | 255,461 | 29.8 | 1.28 | 4.30 | 1.07 | 3.58 | 157 |
| Z sample, milking time 2-8 PM | 309,974 | 30.2 | 1.36 | 4.51 | 1.09 | 3.61 | 187 |
| Proportional (P) sample | 112,620 | 29.6 | 1.31 | 4.41 | 1.07 | 3.61 | 167 |
| Z sample, automatic milking | 370,908 | 33.4 | 1.41 | 4.23 | 1.19 | 3.56 | 214 |

How are the methods working? – part II

- **Holsteins only**
- **A comparison of ready, corrected and calculated 24-hour yields**
- **Period from June 4th, 2018 to June 3rd, 2019**

| Method | Samples | Milk, kg | Fat, kg | Fat, % | Protein, kg | Protein, % | Cells |
|--|---------|----------|---------|--------|-------------|------------|-------|
| One-milking (Z) sample, milking time 4-10 AM | 116,009 | 31.3 | 1.31 | 4.17 | 1.10 | 3.52 | 161 |
| Z sample, milking time 2 to 8 PM | 142,204 | 31.9 | 1.39 | 4.36 | 1.14 | 3.56 | 184 |
| Proportional (P) sample | 45,191 | 31.4 | 1.34 | 4.25 | 1.12 | 3.55 | 167 |
| Z sample, automatic milking | 231,346 | 34.8 | 1.44 | 4.14 | 1.22 | 3.52 | 216 |

Conclusions



- Present methods are working on a satisfactory level
- Present methods give the farmer a lot of freedom while the MRO still knows what is going on
- Making things more complex for the farmer is not an option -> simple means less mistakes
- Interested in cooperation towards better estimation of fat and cells from one-milking samples

