Using Farm Based Software for Monitoring of Milking System Perform and Validation of Data Action Herd Recording Program



Steven J. Sievert Technical Director, National DHIA Manager, Quality Certification Services Inc.

Changing Dynamics of Herd Recording

Traditional herd recording programs rely on

- Portable meters owned by herd recording organization
- Control of meter maintenance, calibration and operation
- Investment in equipment carried by recording organization

The new construct of herd testing

- Smaller number of herds coupled with increase herd size
- Desire for immediate access to data and results
- Investment in integrated milking systems/software by dairy
- Desire for increased labor and data handling efficiency
- Use of multi-day milk yield averages in recording programs



Herds on DHI Programs



Cows on DHI Programs



DHI Cows by Herd Size During 2011



Number of Cows per Herd

Average Size of DHI Herds



6

Changing Dynamics of Herd Recording in the USA

	P	ortable	Meters	5	Dai	iry Owr	<u>ed Me</u>	ters
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2008	2009	2010	2011
Total Meters	112,389	110,117	107,369	92,289	46,875	49,318	56,034	89,167
Calibration met	hod used	b						
Motor Tool in	112 200	-	107 2/0	02.200	22.01/	07 470	27.200	24 4 4 0
Water Test, n Water Test, %	112,389	10,117	107,369	92,289 100	32,016 68	27,470 56	27,289 49	36,648 41
Statistical, n Statistical, %					14,859 32	21,848 44	28,745 51	52,519 59

Calibration of Daily Meters

An water test at installation is a requirement

- Assure accuracy of each meter
- Assurance the entire milking system is operational

Ongoing calibration – what is needed?

- An annual water test calibration may not be enough
- Higher usage level 24 h per day in some cases
- No oversight of meter maintenance or software by recording organization – is the data accurate for each recording day?
- Need to balance expense, labor, and time

Key Concept with Statistical Monitoring of Daily Milk Meters

The in-place milk meter is only part of a linked system that includes...



Potential Sources of Error in Data Recording

Accuracy	Excellent	Good	Fair
Milk Meter	98%	98%	98%
Controller	99%	99%	99%
Animal ID	100%	97%	95%
Milker (Human)	99%	99%	99%
Data Transfer	100%	100%	100%
Maximum Data Accuracy from On-Farm System	96%	93%	90%

- Calibrating the milk meter alone may not be sufficient
- Errors also exist when using portable meters for herd recording
- Need to review entire system and minimize errors

Variables Required for Meter Performance Report

- Date
- Herd name or Herd code
- Animal ID
- Stall or meter ID
- Measured milk weight
- Number of milkings represented at each stall/meter
- Deviation for each stall/meter
- Optional
 - Defined tolerance for reference
 - ID errors (missing cows, duplicate reads, wrong pens)
 - Reattachment and manual detach incidents
 - Milking time deviations
 - Milking speed
 - Cross reference with milk shipped weights integrated into the report or software program



Calculation of the Daily Milk Meter's Performance

Expected Milk Weight (MW) this milking

Yield average on the last X milkings at $M_n *$ "herd factor"

$$\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{X} y_{ni}}{X}\right) \times \frac{h_{n(current milking)}}{\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{X} h_{ni}}{X}\right)}$$

Deviation from Expected

Cow Deviation (kg) = Measured yield (kg) - Expected yield (kg)

Meter Deviation (%)

NATIONAL

 $\frac{\text{sum of cow deviations (kg) for this milk meter}}{\text{sum of expected yields (kg) of these cows for this milk meter}} \times 100$

Removal of Outliers from Calculation

Expected Milk Yield (2x), Adjusted for Herd Effect

	<u><65%</u>	<u><70%</u>	<u><75%</u>	<u><80%</u>	<u>>120%</u>	<u>>125%</u>	<u>>130%</u>	<u>>135%</u>
Observed,n	12	22	33	69 0.27	77	33	15	12
Observed, %	0.07	0.12	0.18	0.37	0.42	0.18	0.08	0.07
<14 DIM	8	14	20	46	84	24	11	10
14-21 DIM	3	5	5	8	10	5	2	1
22-28 DIM	1	1	4	7	7	3	2	1
29-35 DIM	0	1	2	4	5	1	0	0
>35 DIM	0	0	2	4	3	0	0	0
Total Outliers	12	21	33	69	109	33	15	12

- Exclusion of cows <30 DIM from meter performance report is justified as prediction of expected milk yield is unreliable
- May consider removal of expected milk yields deviating <u>+</u>30%

Accurate ID is Important

Cow ID and Stall ID are essential to the Meter Performance Report

- Electronic ID systems
 - Manufacturer ID transponders
 - Third Party EID tags and readers
 - Primary Source of Error TECHNOLOGY
- Manual ID entry

TIONAL

- Cow ID is keyed on the controller in the milking stall
- Usually leg bands or visual cow number
- Primary Source of Error HUMAN

Acceptable Meter Performance Report

	Number	No	Cow#	Cow#	% Auto	A	veráge	s	Total
Meter	Weights	Cow#	Hand	Auto	vs.Hand	ł Milk	Time	%Dev	Milk
1	20	0	2	18	90	29.68	361	3	593.50
2	20	0	0	20	100	28.21	351	-2	564.10
3	20	0	Q	20	100	31.02	363	-0	620.30
4	20	0	1	19	95	31.59	362	6	631.70
5	20	0	1	19	95	26.69	336	-0	533.80
6	20	0	0	20	100	26.02	339	-0	520.50
7	20	0	1	19	95	31.62	337	1	632.30
8	20	0	2	18	90	24.02	312	-1	480.40
9	20	0	1	19	95	29.77	355	5	595.50
10	18	0	1	17	94	26.05	340	1	468.90
11	22	0	6	16	73	29.14	336	5	641.10
12	22	0	4	18	82	27.17	349	-6	597.80
13	22	0	4	18	82	28.57	322	5	628.60
14	22	0	2	20	91	27.49	350	1	604.80
15	22	0	0	22	100 .	31.75	347	4	698.40
16	21	0	5	16	76	28.75	343	1	603.70
17	22	0.	2	20	91	27.40	382	. 1	602.70
18	22	0	1	21	95	27.91	329	0	614.00
19	21	0	0	21	100	28.72	356	4	603.20
20	22	0	1	21	95	27.31	306	-0	600.90
	21		2	19	92	28.44	344	1	591.81
	416		34	382				1	1836.20

Stall No. No. % Difference No. Milkings Obs. From Expected 101 10 252 -1.1 +1.6 102 246 10 103 10 252 0.0 104 10 257 -0.1 105 258 -0.4 10 106 -1.9 10 253 -0.3 201 10 259

203

204

205

206

-0.4

+1.4

+0.0

-3.3

-0.5

+1.1

-4.0

-2.5

Acceptable Meter Performance Report

NATIONAL

	202	10	260	+3	.7						
	203	10	254	+0	.7						
	204	10	258	+0	.2						
e	205	10	260	-0	.8						
	206	10	254	-2	2.0						
	Stall	No.= Sta	tion (f	irst ch	ar.), S	ide (ne	xt char	.) and	Stall (last 2	chars.)
	817 - M	ilking R	leport -	Electr	onic Mi	.lk Mete	r Monit	oring R	eport -	Date 0	2-21-2011
									-1		
	Electro	nic Milk	Meter	Monitor	ing Rep	ort (EM	MMR) De	tail			
	Percent	Differe	ence Fro	m Expec	ted By	Milking	t i				
		* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	*****	** Date	/Milkin	g Numbe	r *****	*****	*****	* * * * * *
	Stall	02-21	02-21	02-21	02-20	02-20	02-20	02-19	02-19	02-19	02-19
	No.	l	2	3	l	2	З	l	2	3	4
	101	+1.1	+2.4	-3.5	-2.4	-2.1	+1.4	-7.7	+0.4	-1.2	+1.1
	102	+9.2	-0.7	-2.7	+1.6	+5.8	+1.8	+3.3	+2.1	-0.5	-4.5
	103	-1.4	+0.7	+1.2	+1.1	-1.0	-1.4	+2.1	+0.1	-0.6	-1.2
	104	-2.4	-3.1	-0.2	+2.0	+4.1	-1.3	+1.1	-2.6	-0.3	+2.5
	105	-2.2	-1.6	+1.4	+4.8	-2.5	-0.4	+1.6	-0.3	-4.2	-1.0
	106	+2.3	-5.8	-2.3	-3.5	-3.7	-1.4	-0.9	+0.2	-1.4	-2.8
	201	-4.6	-2.5	+2.6	+1.3	-0.3	-0.6	-3.4	+1.9	+1.1	+1.1
	202	+1.9	+3.2	+5.7	+2.8	+2.4	+4.9	+5.9	+2.3	+3.7	+4.2

+0.8

-1.2

-0.1

+0.2

+0.7

+0.7

-2.4

-0.9

+1.3

+2.5

-2.0

-4.1

+0.6

-0.7

-1.7

0.0

-2.0

+1.8

+2.3

+2.4

+2.1

-0.6

-1.9

-1.2

+2.0

-2.9

+0.6

-5.3

+2.1

+0.5

-1.3

-2.8

Deviating Meters on the Report

- It does not necessarily mean the meter is out of calibration...
 - But if one meter is out of tolerance on the report, the whole report may not usable
- Time to be a detective and isolate/correct errors...
 - Primary contacts
 - Dairy Manager
 - Representative(s) from Herd Recording Organization
 - Secondary contacts
 - Milker(s)
 - Representative from Equipment Manufacturer



Meter Performance Reports cannot be used with..

- Herds with incomplete identification or EID system challenges
- Herds with one or more failing/non-communicating controllers
- Herds with one or more missing or out-of-service meters

TIONA

 Herds where all strings do not have the same milking frequency (some strings 4x, some strings 2x) – may be solved with additional programming/logic

Sources of Variation – ID System

Possible cause(s)

 Inaccurate ID reads from automated system

Incomplete herd ID

Duplicate animal ID

Data entry errors by milking personnel

TIONA

817 - Milking Report - Electronic Milk Meter Monitoring Report - Date 07-31-2009

Electronic Milk Meter Monitoring Report (EMMMR) Detail Percent Difference From Expected By Milking

	*****	*****	******	**** Da	te/Milk	ing Numb	or ****	******	******	******
Stall	07-31	07-3	1 07-3	0 07-3	0 07-2	9 07-29	07-28	07-28	07-27	7 07-27
No.	1	2	1	2	l	2	1	2	1	2
0.0	.10.1									
00	+10.1	+49.2	+14.6	-8.0	+7.9	+8.2	+11.4	+19.4	+18.7	+18.9
BT	-0.1	+52.4	+10.6	+3.3	+4.7	+13.7	+9.9	+24.8	+10.0	+14.8
82	+1.1	+27.3	-0.8	+9.5	+10.0	+19.9	+16.1	+8.8	+29.2	+12.5
83	+10.3	+47.0	+5.0	+6.8	+6.8	+15.5	+13.3	+26.2	+7.3	+19.6
84	+26.9	+56.6	-2.6	+9.8	+5.7	-0.2	+13.3	+17.8	+39.0	-8.6
85	-8.9	+19.4	+5.3	+2.8	-3.5	+11.3	+21.4	+31.4	+20.9	+18.3
86	+116.5	+39.0	+0.9	-28.2	+5.7	+12.7	+15.0	+22.2	+39.3	+27.7
87	+2.0	+59.2	+2.5	+27.5	+0.6	+16.2	+2.3	-5.1	+25.8	+18.3
88	+4.9	+23.8	+22.5	-98.6	+21.8	-24.9	-9.0	+40.3	+44.9	+24.5
89	-4.9	+42.5	+42.5	+73.6	+36.3	+163.0	+30.1	+33.2	+14.9	+18.6
90	+18.6	+10.7	+19.8	+39.0	+27.3	+22.4	+22.4	+11.9	-1.9	+110.9
91	+110.9	+51.5	+12.3	+33.7	+7.9	+51.2	+8.5	+5.4	+18.5	+113.5
92	-11.8	+59.7	+64.5	+24.8	+53.8	+57.8	+57.5	+48.5	+77.9	+27.9
93	+54.8	+60.1	+74.9	+73.2	+20.8	+23.7	+39.6	+21.1	+28.3	+41.8
94	+17.4	+46.3	+48.4	+38.3	+38.4	+29.7	+8.8	+15.6	+54.6	+54.6
95	+41.9	+51.8	+43.6	+66.2	+12.1	+100.5	+39.8	+40.2	+25.9	+45.8
96	+20.5	+43.9	+35.6	+56.3	+78.5	+60.1	+19.6	+27.7	+33.5	+18.4
97	+18.4	+40.9	-13.3	+79.1	+12.3	+103.0	+13.6	+91.0	+45.6	=1.6
98	+39.6	+51.0	+23.0	+103.0	+7.4	+98.8	+28.4	+24.9	+28.9	+58.3
99	-21.5	+31.5	+7.7	+30.7	+1.8	+35.8	+26.6	+72.4	+32.9	+83.7
100	+36.5	+25.7	+70.9	+116.0	+84.3	+85.5	+31.8	+60.3	+44.6	+54.8
101	-11.8	+83.8	+132.8	+194.7	+27.3	+77.7	+22.4	+99.0	-28.5	+116.6
102	+11.6	+29.2	-5.0	+39.8	+6.1	+45.4	+4.0	+16.7	-10.2	+5 7
103	-3.6	+46.8	+89.7	+80.2	+111.3	+49.7	+61.4	+22.1	+29.4	+119.8
AV.	+13.8	+43.7	+27.6	+40.6	+24.4	+44.9	+20.2	+32.3	+25.9	+40.0

Detail information for each milking for each cow is stored in file MeterDtl.csv File is located in C: PCDART 12184502. Open file with spreadsheet program.

Sources of Variation – Equipment

 Reattachment of milkers – Is the total milk weight computed?

- Treated cows do they bypass the meter?
- Incomplete letdown by cows
- Meter out of calibration

TIONA

817 - Milking Report - Electronic Milk Meter Monitoring Report - Date 06-25-2009

Electronic Milk Meter Monitoring Report (EMMMR) Detail Percent Difference From Expected By Milking

	*****	******	******	*** Dat	e/Milki	ng Numb	er ****	******	******	******
Stall	06-25	06-25	06-25	06-24	06-24	06-24	06-23	06-23	06-23	06-23
No.	1	2	з	l	2	з	1	2	3	4
1	+8.1	+2.9	+6.9	+7.8	-0.4	-2.4	+1.6	+1.0	+3.0	+8.9
2	+0.8	+9.5	+4.7	-1.5	+9.6	+5.4	+6.9	+5.7	+3.8	+1.5
3	+4.6	+12.3	+8.4	+9.9	+6.9	+2.1	+6.4	+8.4	+2.9	+2.3
4	+8.0	+2.5	-4.1	+2.8	+3.4	+9.5	+7.2	+4.3	+7.5	+2.4
5	+9.4	+11.7	+3.3	-4.6	+3.7	+6.6	+5.0	-3.0	+13.5	+6.7
б	-2.3	+1.0	+0.6	-0.8	+0.3	-2.3	+4.1	+0.3	-0.9	-1.3
7	+10.4	+6.3	-2.3	+6.0	+7.6	+3.6	+4.7	+6.2	+8.0	+10.0
8	+6.4	-0.6	+0.2	+3.8	+3.3	+1.1	+2.4	+5.8	+6.6	+2.7
9	+4.1	+4.3	+3.3	-0.5	-2.1	+2.3	+1.7	+7.9	+3.8	+8.4
10	+0.3	+4.1	+12.5	+7.8	+0.2	+0.2	+7.8	+8.8	+9.5	+6.3
11	+11.4	-1.6	+9.8	+5.6	+1.9	+2.7	+4.3	+4.0	+1.3	-0.2
12	+2.4	+13.1	+2.2	+4.6	+6.2	+3.0	-5.5	+3.1	-1.6	-0.3
13	-3.3	+0.0	+3.3	-0.6	+2.1	+4.1	+7.8	+5.5	+3.4	-1.0
14	+2.4	+4.2	+13.0	+9.5	+7.1	+6.5	+11.7	+9.4	+6.1	+8.8
15	-5.9	-5.5	-6.2	-3.4	+4.0	-3.8	~5.3	-10.9	-4.9	-11.6
16	+10.3	+10.2	+6.2	+9.8	+14.7	+6.2	+6.3	+10.3	+6.3	+10.6
17	-27.0	-21.9	-22.1	-28.2	-30.1	-23.8	-23.8	-29.2	-24.0	-19.0
18	+1.8	+6.9	+8.2	+9.6	+8.1	+7.8	+13.3	+5.1	+6.2	+1.2
19	-23.3	-22.9	-25.2	-24.3	-27.3	-22.5	-26.7	-22.4	-24.9	-20.1
20	+3.3	+3.2	+10.4	+8.8	-1.2	+15.1	+9.3	+9.7	+6.0	+5.0
21	-25.7	-35.5	-27.9	-18.3	-26.2	-22.0	-26.5	-26.1	-24.4	-25.6
22	-13.1	-14.8	-15.4	-12.1	-10.8	-15.4	-18.5	-21.2	-17.4	-14.2
23	+5.3	+4.4	+6.3	+7.9	+6.2	+8.1	+1.2	+3.1	-2.6	+3.2
24	+3.2	-1.4	-5.7	-0.5	+1.7	-5.0	-1.2	-2.3	-0.6	-2.9
AV.	-0.3	-0.3	-0.4	0.0	-0.5	-0.5	-0.2	-0.7	-0.6	-0.8

Detail information for each milking for each cow is stored in file MeterDtl.csv File is located in C: PCDART 23150461. Open file with spreadsheet program.

Sources of Variation - Equipment

Meters installed
 properly?

Meter out of calibration?

A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE

Mochfications-to

AV.

+0.3

+0.2

+0.1

+0.0

Electronic Milk Meter Monitoring Report (EMMMR) Detail Percent Difference From Expected By Milking Date/Milking Numbe Stall 03-17 03-17 03-16 03-18 03-18 03-17 03-16 03-16 03 - 1503-18 No. 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 +0.0 +1.7 +1.2 +5.1 +5.4 +0.2 +2.9 +2.0+0.2 +3.7 1 +4.4 +4.5 +5.9 +9.6 +9.9 +1.5 +3.7 +10.0 +1.2 +4.7 +2.2 +4.8 +2.9 +3.7 +5.0 -1.2 +4.5 +8.8 +1.2 +5.5 -34.2 -37.1 -31.4 -30.6-25.0-15.5 -31.0 -30.4-38.8 -37.4 +5.2 +8.2 +4.0 +3.2 +13.4 +3.6 +6.2 +2.0 +2.6 +5.6 5 +0.1 -5.1 -3.4 -5.2 -6.2 -1.2 -9.9 -6.6 -4.7 -1.3 6 +4.5 +4.2 +0.3 +0.9 +0.0 +4.7 +3.8 +7.2 +4.2 +4.6 7 8 +1.4 +5.1 +3.2 +2.3 -1.2 -1.3+1.1 +5.3+2.9 -4.1 +0.4 9 +2.4 +0.2 +1.3 -3.2 -3.3+8.5 -2.7 -0.5 +3.8 10 +7.4 +6.7 +3.7 -1.5 +8.0 +0.0 +0.6 +1.7 +7.3 +9.8 -0.4+0.0 -1.0 +5.3 +0.5 +9.9 -0.2 -6.5 11 +7.1 +4.612 +4.4 +6.1 +9.6 +7.8 +1.4 +6.6 +2.5 -3.0+5.3 +6.9

Detail information for each milking for each cow stored in file METERDTL.CSV File is located in PCDART 32200303. Open file with spreadsheet program.

+0.4

-0.4

+0.6

-0.2

-0.3

+0.1



Sources of Variation – Missing Milkings

Electr	onic Mi	ik Mete	r Monit	oring R	eport (B	I (RMMR	Detail			
Percen	t Diffe	rence F	rom Exp	ected B	y Milkin	g				
	****	******	******	**** Da	te/Nilki	ng Numl	087 ***	******	****	*****
Stall	07-30	07-30	07-29	07-29	07-28	07-28	07-27	07-27	07-26	07-26
No.	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	S	ı	2
80	+4.6	-4.2	+5.2	-4.2	+0.1		+22.9	-2.1	+4.5	-3.6
81	+3.6	-5.4	+4.1	-5.4	-4.1		+7.6	+0.9	-0.6	+10.1
82	-1.7	-6.2	-2.9	-6.2	+0.0		-3.8	-0.5	+4.4	-6.9
83	+15.0	+10.1	+3.2	+10.1	+11.0		+0.4	-3.4	+3.3	+2.1
84	+9.1	+14.0	+9.5	+14.0	-7.4		+1.2	+11.3	-5.1	+10.3
85	+4.8	+7.4	+5.1	+7.4	+2.3		+9.6	-4.6	+5.0	-1.5
86	-97.5	-96.4	-98.0	-96.4	+6.1		+9.9	+0.0	+4.9	-3.5
87	+1.8	-0.7	+2.4	-0.7	+4.3		-0.7	+6.1	-0.6	+5.2
88	+5.1	+14.1	+5.5	+14.1	+1.4		+0.5	-12.4	+23.6	+4.0
89	-2.0	+3.7	-1.5	+3.7	+1.2		+2.5	+1.5	+9.3	+1.3
90	-9.7	-0.3	-9.3	-0.3	+1.5		-3.2	-0.3	-2.7	+3.6
91	+8.4	-5.2	+3.8	-5.2	-6.3		-1.6	-6.3	-6.5	-6.0
92	+3.7	+3.3	+8.5	+3.3	-0.1		-3.0	+3.5	-4.3	-6.2
93	-6.9	+2.6	-6.6	+2.6	-1.3		-3.8	+8.2	+2.0	+0.4
94	+4.2	+5.0	+4.6	+5.0	-3.9		-2.5	-8.0	+8.1	-0.7
95	+5.4	+1.9	+4.1	+1.9	-11.3		-2.2	-0.8	+1.9	-0.7
96	-3.0	+0.6	-8.4	+0.6	+3.5		+3.0	+4.5	-19.7	+0.0
97	+5.3	+10.4	+5.7	+10.4	+2.5		+3.9	+8.0	+1.9	+0.0
98	+0.5	+6.0	+3.0	+6.0	+0.4		-6.4	+1.7	+4.6	+1.2
99	+0.7	+22.3	+0.8	+22.3	+1.1		+4.5	-2.1	+4.0	-1.6
100	-0.1	+3.4	+0.3	+3.4	+5.8		-14.7	-3.4	+0.1	-3.3
101	+2.0	+7.7	+2.3	+7.7	-3.4		+4.3	+8.4	-8.4	-2.1
102	-2.9	+1.2	-2.2	+1.2	-1.7		-6.4	-2.6	-0.4	-0.4

Sources of Variation – Software/Interface

- Upload/interface errors
 - ID data not transferred properly
 - Milk weights not transferred
 - Stall identification errors
- Software upgrades or modifications

TIONA

Stall	No.	No.	% Differen	nce	
No.	Milkings	Obs.	From Exped	sted	
80	10	13	-4.1		
81	10	12	-14.9	Diff.	5%
82	10	15	+7.7	Diff.	5%
83	10	16	+12.7	Diff.	5%
84	10	13	-5.0	Diff.	5%
85	10	9	-3.0		
86	10	14	+16.5	Diff.	5%
87	10	15	-2.9		
88	10	10	-9.8	Diff.	5%
89	10	7	+3.7		
90	10	2	-39.3	Diff.	5%
91	10	20	-2.4		
92	10	15	+16.7	Diff.	5%
93	10	8	-15.6	Diff.	5%
94	10	6	-23.2	Diff.	5%
95	10	12	-21.8	Diff.	5%
96	10	13	-2.1		
97	10	9	+6.5	Diff.	5%
98	10	8	-2.1		
99	10	10	-10.4	Diff.	5%
100	10	7	+1.5		
101	10	13	+6.3	Diff.	5%
102	10	15	+4.8		
103	10	9	+9.5	Diff.	5%

Electr	onic Mi	lk Met	er Monit	oring R	eport (EMMMR)	Detail			
Percen	t Diffe	rence	From Exp	ected B	y Milki	ng				
74 o 7 7	*****	*****	********	**** Da	te/Milk	ing Num	per ***	*******	*******	*******
Ne	03-11	03-1	1 03-11	03-10	03-10	03-10	03-09	03-09	03-09	03-06
NO.	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1
80	+3.8	-25.6	+523.8	+10.1	+9.8		+9.2	-18.3	-19.0	+7.4
81	-20.2		-93.9		+12.4	-17.9	-33.8	-0.2	+7.3	
82		+20.6		+7.3	+24.7	+1.0	+6.6	-1.1	-16.6	+9.2
83	+15.4	+21.3	-93.7	+16.2	+13.2	+23.7	+15.1	-16.4	+20.6	+16.9
84	-19.7		+171.8	-36.6	+25.6	+16.5	-36.9	-9.7		-8.3
85	+4.2	+5.0	-92.3		-2.8			+0.0		-4.6
86				+12.5	-2.6	+21.4	+11.8	+61.2	-9.2	+9.0
87	-5.6	-21.6	-94.6	-0.9	-3.3	-0.4	-1.7		+9.4	
88	+0.0	+36.0		-8.2	-34.4	-32.6	-8.9	-17.5	-22.4	-14.9
89	+0.0	+24.2			-2.7	+6.4		-5.2		+6.3
90					-47.6	-31.2				
91	+8.1	-2.1	-93.6	-3.2	-4.5	-11.6	-3.7	-10.2	+32.1	-29.4
92		+4.7	+531.3	+32.8	+33.7	-7.2	+31.9	-5.3	-9.7	-8.5
93		-16.6	-91.9	-9.5	-11.8	+10.9	-10.4			
94	-1.5	-6.7	-94.8							-26.2
95		-22.3	-33.3	-31.2		-21.0	-31.5		-11.9	
96	-10.1	-9.9	-95.1	+9.7		-18.7	+9.0	+4.6	+21.6	+2.9
97				+2.8	+0.3	+25.8	+1.9	-2.2		-2.7
98				+16.7	-18.5	+35.8	+16.0		-16.9	+2.5
99	+16.5		-90.0		-24.5			+10.8	-16.5	+0.0
100		-12.5				+8.1			-1.4	+18.4
101	+0.0	-20.3		+1.0	+43.6	-5.7	+0.2	+24.3	+22.8	+8.4
102	-3.5	+0.2	+607 3	-6.3	+12 9		-7 3	-10.7		-3.3

Considerations on Meter Performance Reports

- Low cost
- Frequency i.e. monthly
- Ease of producer access
- Ease of recording personnel access
- Shorter turnaround and targeted repairs
- Identify weaknesses in the entire linked milk recording system
- Service opportunity for herd recording organization – build value into recording program
- Ongoing assurance of data validity for use in recording

programs

NATIONA

Advantages

- Does not clearly indicate whether a meter is operating within tolerances
 - Part of the process
 - Not the answer or result
- There is no meter system
 certification or validation without...
 - communication
 - interpretation
 - action
 - follow-up
- Does not replace installation test

Disadvantages

Thank You!

10

V#/828

